# **Treatment of flow-dependency in data assimilatoion**

The 33rd EWGLAM and 18th SRNWP Meeting, 10-13 October, 2011, Tallinn

Jelena Bojarova (met.no), Ole Vignes (met.no), Nils Gustafsson(SMHI, met.no), Martin Stengel (SMHI),



Veðurstofa

Meteorologisk

Magnus Lindskog (SMHI), Tomas Landelius (SMHI), Shiyu Zhuang(DMI) and Sigurdur Thorsteinsson (IMC)

climatology

### **Treatment of the background forecast error covariance**

The hybrid ETKF 3D-Variational data assimilation

### **Diurnal dependency**

Horizontal spectra more energy in meso-scale at day than at night during spring/summer/winter; less energy day/night variation during autumn.

**Vertical correlation** Slightly wider at daytime than at night for all season.

Humidity standard deviation not much diurnal change.

**Moisture balances** especially during summer (lower level) - coupling between unbalanced temperature and humidity at daytime is larger than at night.

SPD of vorticity (12UTC)



**HIRLAM** approach to use ensembles in 3D-Var

 Sample (or Construct) perturbations which reflect stuctures of the analysis error (*EuroTEPS*, *ETKF* or *EnsDA*) •Grow flow-dependent structures by integrating analysis ensemble forward in time to obtain the 6h forecast perturbations. •Perform the variational data assimilation blending the structures of the full-rank statically and analytically deduced B<sub>3D-Var</sub> and the flowand observation-network dependent structures of the rank-deficient B<sup>f</sup><sub>ens</sub>. •*Repeat* Steps 1-3





## **Seasonal dependency**

- **Horizontal spectra** Winter more energy in synoptical scales; Summer - more energy in meso scale
- Vertical correlation Slightly wider in summer than in winter
- Humidity standard deviation Larger in summer than in winter
- **Moisture balances** winter coupling between vorticity and humidity is comparable to coupling between unbalanced temperature and humidity; summer – coupling between unbalanced temperature and humidity is dominate. (lower lever)





Ensemble of perturbations: forecast error uncertainty=

Relaxed assumptions on in-isotropy and non-homogeneity



## **forecast error** amplitude

One year average of the standard deviations of the surface pressure innovations (observation-minusbackround state) for HIRLAM (Lindskog et al, 2006)



evolution of analysis uncertainty + contribution of the model error ......



4D-Variational data assimilation provide flow-

To improve Gaussianity and homogeneity by transform of variables A non-linear pseudo-relative humidity control variable

> The non-linear transform provides flowdependency in specific humidity. Due to normalization with the background error standarddeviation, dependent on the background state, super-saturation and negative humidities are significantly reduced.

*q* increment from single *Ps* observation Background **T** 

### **Solution – two step method**

Estimate the phase error (displacement field) and warp the first guess.



Minimize the additive error using standard VAR-method.

Promising positive impact for synthetic imager data Same displacement for all parameters OK assumption **•**Same displacement for all vertical levels not so good

#### Treatment of non-additive errors





#### References

Gustafsson, N., et al., 2010: Use of a non linear pseudo-relative humidity variable in a multivariate formulation of moisture analysis. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 137: 1004-1018, DOI: 10.1002/qj.813

Lindskog, M., et al, 2007: Background error variances in HIRLAM Variational data assimilation. Proceedings of ECMWF Workshop on flow-dependent aspects of data assimilation, 11-13 June 2007

Brewster, K.A, 2003: Phase-correcting data assimilation and application to storm-scale numerical weather prediction. Part I: method description and simulation testing. Mon.Wea.Rev, 131, 480-492.