8<sup>th</sup> International SRNWP Workshop on Nonhydrostatic Modelling 26-28 October 2009

# Mesoscale ensemble prediction system using singular vector method

#### K. Ono<sup>1</sup>, Y. Honda<sup>1</sup> and M. Kunii<sup>2</sup>

Numerical Prediction Division, Japan Meteorological Agency Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency

#### Contents

- Outline of singular vector method at JMA
- Results of experiment with initial perturbation by singular vector method
- Effects of lateral boundary perturbation
- Summary and future plans

# Development of meso ensemble prediction system at JMA

- Purpose
  - To provide probabilistic and reliability information about operational mesoscale model(MSM) at JMA
    - MSM : dx = 5km, forecast period is 15 or 33 hours
- Generation of perturbation
  - We have been researching for the best method in
    - 3 ensemble initial perturbation methods
      - Singular vector
      - Local ensemble transform Kalman filter
      - Breeding of growing mode
- Schedule
  - In 20xx, pre-operational experiment will start
  - Specifications have not been decided yet



# Outline of singular vector method developing at JMA

- Singular vector method based on 2 kinds of TL/AD model
  - Meso singular vector(MSV)
    - TL/AD model is based on JMA non hydrostatic model(JMA-NHM)
  - Global targeted singular vector(GSV)
    - TL/AD model is based on global spectral model at JMA
- Purpose in this presentation
  - Which method is best performance as initial perturbation in SVs?
  - Effect of lateral boundary perturbation

## 1. Results of experiment with initial perturbation by SV methods

# **Experiments with initial perturbation**

#### Conducted 4 ensemble forecast experiments

- Target is short range(about after half a day) forecast of precipitation
- Grid spacing of ensemble forecast(using JMA-NHM) is 20km
- MSV40
  - dx = 40 km
  - Standard experiment
- MSV80
  - Low resolution experiment(dx=80km)
- GSV
  - Simple downscaling method
  - Adopted as an initial perturbation method at \*B08RDP within the perturbation methods experimented at JMA/MRI
    \*the WWRP Beijing Olympic 2008
- BSV
  - Blend MSV40 and MSV80
  - To add high wave number component of MSV40 to MSV80



**Research and Development Project** 

## Specification of each experiment

#### Specification of calculating each SV

	MSV40	MSV80	GSV	BSV
Resolution	40km	80km	about <b>180km</b>	40km/80km
Norm	Moist total energy			
Optimization time	15 hour	15hour	24hour	6hour/15hour
Number of singular vectors	10	5	5	10/5

Specification of ensemble forecast using JMA-NHM

Resolution	20km	
Number of ensemble members	11(10PTBs + 1CTL)	
Boundary perturbation	None	





### Methods of generating initial perturbations



#### Experiment period : 24-30 June 2008. All initial is 18UTC



There was a stationary front around Japan 24-28 June 2008, 7 initials(18UTC)

#### Ensemble forecast : Ini.00UTC 28 June 2008, FT=06



## **Initial perturbation**

#### Equivalent potential temperature on 850hPa



## ROC area skill score

#### 3hour precipitation 24-30 June 2008





Until FT=15, BSV>MSV80>MSV40>GSV After FT=15, GSV is the best performance

## **Reliability diagram**

#### 10mm/3hour precipitation 24-30 June 2008, FT=09



observation frequency against forecast

### 2. Effect of lateral boundary perturbation

## Generation of lateral boundary perturbation

• To improve the score of BSV in the latter half of the forecast period

#### Generation of lateral boundary perturbation by GSV

- 1. Calculate 5GSVs(configuration is same as previous one)
- 2. Run control forecast and 5 perturbed forecasts using 5GSVs by JMA-NHM
- 3. PTB = PTBFCST CTLFCST
- 4. Add PTBs to lateral boundary values

Specifications of forecasts for LBPs

Forecast model	JMA-NHM	
Resolution	40km	
Initial and boundary value	Global analysis at JMA	
Forecast region		

#### Initial perturbation is BSV(MSV40+MSV80)

#### The reason for this method

- LBPs match high resolution ensemble forecasting grid
- If we use BSV as MSV40 + <u>GSV</u>(not MSV80), this method can save computation time



#### **Ensemble spread of 3hour precipitation**

#### Initial : 18UTC 07 July 2009, FT=24



BSV with LBPs get a larger spread near the boundaries

#### Ensemble spread and ROC area skill score

#### Initial : 18UTC 07 July 2009



BSV with LBPs is larger spread than BSV without LPBs



In the latter half of the forecast period, ROCASS improves a little by LBPs

# 3. Summary and future plan

## Summary and future plan

- Summary
  - Conduct 4 experiments with initial perturbation using SV
    - In the first half of the forecast period, BSV showed the best performance.
      - Because of larger distribution and higher wave number
    - In the latter half of the forecast period, GSV showed the best performance.
      - Because GSV is the largest scale
  - Generate LBPs and confirm its Effects
    - Confirming the effect of lateral boundary perturbation using GSV
- Future plan
  - Research for the way of generating BSV perturbation
    - Best way of SV combination
    - Which method is best, MSV40+MSV80 or MSV40 + GSV?
  - Compare with other LBP generation method
    - Downscaling of perturbations of weekly ensemble prediction at JMA etc...

#### Generation of initial perturbation from MSV40

#### - Effect of low pass filter and comparion with MSV80

#### Its trancated wave length is about 300km



## Effect of low pass filter and number of SVs

#### ROC area skill score (3hour precipitation, 2008.6.24-30)



5MSVs, rotation 10MSVs, rotation 10MSVs, LPF + rotation