

## Overview of the COSMO Priority Project **KENDA** for <u>K</u>m-Scale <u>En</u>semble-Based <u>D</u>ata <u>A</u>ssimilation

Christoph Schraff, Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany

Hendrik Reich, Andreas Rhodin (DWD)

Roland Potthast, Ulrich Blahak, Klaus Stephan (DWD) Yuefei Zeng, Dorit Epperlein (KIT, D) Daniel Leuenberger, Tanja Weusthoff (MeteoSwiss) Mikhail Tsyrulnikov, Vadim Gorin (RosHydromet, RU) Amalia Iriza (NMA, RO) Marek Lazanowicz (IMGW, PL) Lucio Torrisi (CNMCA, I)





**KENDA**: Why develop **Ensemble-Based Data Assimilation ?** 



**COSMO-DE**:  $\Lambda x = 2.8 \text{ km}$ 

(deep convection explicit, shallow convection param.)

domain size : ~ 1250 x 1150 km



convection-permitting NWP:

after 'few' hours,

a forecast of convection is a long-term forecast

- deliver probabilistic (pdf) rather than deterministic forecast  $\rightarrow$
- need ensemble forecast and data assimilation system  $\rightarrow$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  forecast component: COSMO-DE EPS







- $\rightarrow$  data assimilation: priority project within COSMO consortium **Km-scale ENsemble-based Data Assimilation (KENDA)**:
  - → Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (LETKF), (because of its relatively low computational costs)
  - This talk: method and implementation
    - some scientific issues

talk by Hendrik Reich : preliminary experiments at DWD







Assume: Gaussian errors

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{P}^{b^{-1}} \left(\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{b}\right) + \left[\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{O}} - H(\mathbf{x})\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left[\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{O}} - H(\mathbf{x})\right]$$

for  $\mathbf{P}^b = (k-1) \mathbf{X}^b (\mathbf{X}^b)^T$ ,  $J(\mathbf{x})$  is well-defined in sub-space S spanned by  $\mathbf{X}^b$ 





## Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter **LETKF** (Hunt et al., 2007)



Deutscher Wetterdienst







- implementation following Hunt et al., 2007
- basic idea: do analysis in the space of the ensemble perturbations
  - computationally efficient, but also restricts corrections to subspace spanned by the ensemble
  - explicit localization (doing separate analysis at every grid point, select only obs in vicinity)
  - analysis ensemble members are locally linear combinations of first guess ensemble members





## LETKF for COSMO : transform matrices





(for a grid point influenced by > 200 conventional obs)

(simulating many more obs)

- $\rightarrow$  diagonal elements >> off-diagonal elements ( $\rightarrow$  analysis increments 'small')
- $\rightarrow$  'good' forecast members get larger weight in all analysis members



- analysis step (LETKF) outside COSMO code
  - ensemble of independent COSMO runs up to next analysis time  $\rightarrow$ (collecting obs – f.g.  $\rightarrow$  4D -LETKF)
  - separate analysis step code, LETKF included in 3DVAR package of DWD  $\rightarrow$



- basically for verification purposes, COSMO obs operators incl. quality control will be implemented in 3DVAR / LETKF environment
  - future: hybrid 3DVAR-EnKF approaches in principle applicable to COSMO  $\rightarrow$





standard experimentation system not yet adapted to perform LETKF (but soon)  $\rightarrow$  stand-alone scripts, only preliminary LETKF experiments up to now

 $\rightarrow$  talk by Hendrik Reich



- lateral BC :
  - future: from global EnKF / EPS based on ICON (non-hydrostatic, with regional grid refinement)

  - currently: COSMO-SREPS (or deterministic)









(forecast / analysis) ensemble spread 'characterises' (forecast / analysis) error, but

- ensemble size is limited, ensemble can only sample but not fully represent errors
- model error is not accounted for by algorithm
- $\rightarrow\,$  lack of spread: (partly) due to model error and limited ensemble size which is not accounted directly by the algorithm

to account for it: covariance inflation, what is needed ?

- $\rightarrow \text{ multiplicative } X_b \rightarrow \rho \cdot X_b \quad \text{ (tuning, or adaptive } (y H(x) \sim R + H^T P_b H))$
- $\rightarrow$  additive : perturbing the NWP model
  - fixed perturbations of model physics parameters







ensemble forecast perturbations from COSMO-DE-EPS here: a result from an old version without initial perturbations



## additive covariance inflation: model perturbations



Deutscher Wetterdienst

ensemble forecast perturbations, statistics over 9 days T<sub>10 m</sub> over Northern Germany

- → ≤ +3h : bi-modal pdf
  but EnKF optimal
  only for Gaussian pdf
- → physics perturbations that may be appropriate for the forecast component of an EPS need not be appropriate for DA (EnKF)
- additive covariance inflation: perturbing the NWP model
  - fixed perturbations of model physics parameters : no
  - stochastic physics (will be implemented)
  - statistical 3DVAR-B  $\rightarrow$  hybrid schemes !
  - additive inflation which reflects model error as estimated by statistics (comparing forecast tendencies with observed tendencies, Gorin & Tsyrulnikov)





- localisation (multi-scale data assimilation, successive LETKF steps with different obs / localisation ?)
- update frequency  $\Delta_a t$ ? non-linearity vs. noise / lack of spread / 4D property?
- perturbed lateral BC, how to deal with it ?

 $(\rightarrow \text{ source of noise })$ (distort implicit error covariances in filter  $\rightarrow$  limit use of obs ?)

note: shorter data cut-off & higher analysis frequency for COSMO-DE than for driving global system ICON

• non-linear aspects, convection initiation (outer loop, (latent heat nudging)?)







- radar : radial velocity and (3-D) reflectivity
- ground-based GPS slant path delay (direct use in LETKF, or tomography)
- cloud information based on satellite and conventional data
  - derive incomplete analysis of cloud top + cloud base, using conventional obs (synop, radiosonde, ceilometer) and NWC-SAF cloud products from SEVIRI, use obs increments of cloud or cloud top / base height or derived humidity
  - or use SEVIRI radiances directly

(Issues in LETKF: **non-Gaussian** distribution of obs increments, non-linear obs opr, non-local obs, obs error correlations / thinning ...)





14





thank you for your attention





Basic theory : Ensemble Transform KF (Hunt et al., 2007)



$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{b}\right)^{T} \mathbf{P}^{b^{-1}} \left(\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{b}\right) + \left[\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{0}} - H(\mathbf{x})\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left[\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{0}} - H(\mathbf{x})\right]$$

for  $\mathbf{P}^b = (k-1) \mathbf{X}^b (\mathbf{X}^b)^T$ ,  $J(\mathbf{x})$  is well-defined in sub-space S spanned by  $\mathbf{X}^b$ 

- if w is Gaussian random vector with mean 0 and covariance (k-1) I, then  $\mathbf{x} = \overline{\mathbf{x}}^b + \mathbf{X}^b \mathbf{w}$  is Gaussian with mean  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^b$  and cov. (k-1)  $\mathbf{X}^b$   $(\mathbf{X}^b)^T$ 
  - → set up cost function in (low-dimensional !) ensemble space  $J(\mathbf{w}) = (k-1)\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w} + [\mathbf{y}^O - H(\overline{\mathbf{x}}^b + \mathbf{X}^b\mathbf{w})]^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} [\mathbf{y}^O - H(\overline{\mathbf{x}}^b + \mathbf{X}^b\mathbf{w})]$
  - $\rightarrow$  apply nonlinear H to all forecast members and linearize around ensemble mean in observation space
- $\overline{H(\mathbf{x}^{b(i)})}$
- $\rightarrow$  normal KF eq. in low-dim ensemble space, solve explicitly
- $\rightarrow \text{ analysis error cov.} \quad \mathbf{P}_{w}^{a} = \left[ (k-1) \mathbf{I} + \left( \mathbf{Y}^{b} \right)^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^{b} \right]^{1}$   $\text{ and analysis mean} \quad \overline{\mathbf{w}}^{a} = \mathbf{P}_{w}^{a} \left( \mathbf{Y}^{b} \right)^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{O}} \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{b} \right]$
- need analysis ensemble members, with ensemble spread  $(k-1) \mathbf{X}^a (\mathbf{X}^a)^T = \mathbf{P}^a$ , choose:  $\mathbf{X}^a = \mathbf{X}^b \mathbf{W}^a$ , where  $\mathbf{W}^a = [(k-1)\mathbf{P}_w^a]^{1/2}$

